

New country record and range extension of *Eremias suphani* Başoğlu & Hellmich, 1968 from Iran

^{1,5}Eskandar Rastegar-Pouyani, ²Aziz Avci, ³Yusuf Kumlutaş, ³Çetin Ilgaz, and ⁴Seyyed Saeed Hosseinian Yousefkhani

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of science, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, IRAN ²Adnan Menderes University Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Biology, Aydın-TURKEY ³Dokuz Eylül University, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Buca, İzmir-TURKEY ⁴Young Researchers and Elite Club, Shirvan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shirvan, IRAN

Abstract.—Iran is located in an area that is bordered by several mountains and contains herpetofaunal constituents from adjacent countries such as Pakistan, Iraq, Afghanistan, and Turkey. We surveyed several border provinces of Iran to more completely understand the Iranian herpetofauna. During one survey in the northwestern part of Iran we found a species of the genus *Eremias* that can be added to Iran's herpetofauna. Previously, the distribution of *Eremias suphani* was limited to the Van Lake in Turkey (type locality). We compared Iranian samples with *E. suphani* from Turkey using a molecular marker (Cytochrome *b*) and confirmed that this species is also present in Iran. The new record of this species is located on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran in the west of the province of Azarbaijan, Iran.

Key words. *Eremias suphani*, Iran, Turkey, new record, molecular marker

Citation: Rastegar-Pouyani E, Avci A, Kumlutaş Y, Ilgaz C, Hosseinian Yousefkhani SS. 2013. New country record and range extension of *Eremias suphani* Başoğlu & Hellmich, 1968 from Iran. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation* 6(2): 35–39 (e73).

Introduction

The herpetofauna of Iran remains poorly documented and in some isolated areas completely unknown (Anderson 1999). Historically, the Iranian herpetofauna has been studied by several foreign herpetologists (Mertens 1957; Anderson 1966, 1999; Leviton et al. 1992; Tuck 1971, 1974) who visited Iran, as well as by Iranian herpetologists (Latifi 1991; Balouch and Kami 1995; Kami and Vakilipoure 1996a, 1996b; Firouz 2000; Rastegar-Pouyani et al. 2007). Nonetheless, herein we report the presence of a previously undocumented species from the country. According to the recent published data, 15 species of the genus *Eremias* exist in Iran (Hosseinian Yousefkhani et al. 2013), to which we add another.

Eremias suphani (Suphan Racerunner) was considered to be confined to Turkey, where it was described from Van Lake (Başoğlu and Hellmich 1968), with some additional populations of this species having been recorded from western Turkey (Bischof and Böhme 1980). The species is morphologically similar to *Eremias strauchi*, its putative sister species, but there are differences in scalation (Bischof and Böhme 1980).

Adults of *Eremias suphani* can be distinguished from *E. strauchi* by the presence of a double or single row of gular scales separating the scales of the third pair of chin shields (third pair of chin shields in contact in *E. strauchi*), the presence of enlarged gular scales bordering the third pair of chin shields (no enlarged gulars in

E. suphani), and the presence of a ventrolateral row of well-defined, large, round, white spots, that may be fused to form a longitudinal band (diffuse small longitudinal spots or a diffuse thin line in *E. strauchi*).

The distribution of these two sister species meet in the Dogubayazit Depression in NE Turkey (Bischof and Böhme 1980). In Iran, *E. suphani* is found on compacted, loamy soil at the Iran-Turkey border. In Turkey, *E. suphani* also lives on pebbly/sand substrates with sparse vegetation (Franzen and Hecks 1999; Baran et al. 2012). Amphibian and reptile species that have a sympatric distribution with *E. suphani* include: *Bufo variabilis*, *Pelophylax ridibundus*, *Rana macrocnemis*, *Testudo graeca*, *Trapelus lessonae*, *Ophisops elegans*, and *Lacerta media*. *Eremias suphani* is listed as Least Concern because, although its extent of occurrence is less than 20,000 km², it is common with large population sizes, no major threats, and it is unlikely to be declining fast enough to qualify for listing in a more threatened category (Kaska et al. 2013).

Herein we report a new Iranian country record for *E. suphani*, confirmed using a molecular marker (Cytochrome *b*).

Materials and Methods

The Iranian samples were collected during field trips to the northwest of the country in June 2005 on the road

Correspondence. ⁵rastegarpouyani45@gmail.com



Figure 1. Habitat of *Eremias suphani* in NW Iran on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran in Ali Sheykh village (Photo by Eskandar Rastegar-Pouyani, 2005).

from Firoragh to Chaldoran, at the village of Alishekh (Fig. 1) (E 44° 34' 78.4", N 38° 49' 22.1", elevation: 1934 m). Four specimens were collected (SUHC 310-313) (Fig. 2) and deposited in the Sabzevar University Herpetological Collection (SUHC), Iran. The sites of the new records near the border of Iran-Turkey are provided in Figure 3. Turkish specimens were collected from three different localities in 2010 and 2012 (Aydınlı Village, Adilcevaz, Bitlis—between Tatvan and Bitlis km 4, Bitlis—Hoşap, Güzelsu, Van). They were deposited in the Biology Laboratories of Dokuz Eylül University, İzmir, Turkey.

DNA was obtained from tissue samples (muscle or liver) that were preserved in 96% ethanol (Rastegar-Pouyani et al. 2010). DNA was extracted using a high salt method (Kabir et al. 2006). Fragments of Cytochrome *b* were amplified (PCR) using the primers Mtanew (5'-CTC CCA GCC CCA TCC AAC ATC TCA GGA TGA TGA AAC-3') and Mtfsh (5'-TAG TTG GCC AAT GAT GAT GAA TGG GTG TTC TAC TGG-3'). Sequences from

three specimens of *E. strauchi* and five specimens of *E. suphani* from Turkey were compared with the Iranian samples using MEGA 5.0 software (Table 1). The sequences have been deposited in the GeneBank with accession numbers from KF797802 to KF797813.

Results

Genetic distance (Table 2) indicates that the Iranian samples are very close to *Eremias suphani* of Turkey with only about 2% of genetic distance. Distances between *Eremias strauchi* population and both *Eremias suphani* and *Eremias* sp. samples from Iran, are 16%. *Eremias* sp. samples from Iran now confirmed as *Eremias suphani*.

In addition to previous studies on the Iranian herpetofauna, our initial research in the NW corner of Iran revealed the presence of *Eremias suphani* in Iran. We focused our search in NW Iran to the border of Turkey for other localities, but in the other locations *Eremias strauchi* has been recorded.

Table 1. Examined samples in this study along with their locality and coordinates.

Code	Species name	N	E	Elevation	Locality
Str-1	<i>Eremias strauchi</i>	39° 51' 57.1"	42° 29' 03.3"	864 m	Between Aralık and Gündoğdu, Iğdır
Str-2	<i>Eremias strauchi</i>	39° 51' 57.1"	42° 29' 03.3"	864 m	Between Aralık and Gündoğdu, Iğdır
Str-3	<i>Eremias strauchi</i>	39° 51' 57.1"	42° 29' 03.3"	864 m	Between Aralık and Gündoğdu, Iğdır
SÜ2-A	<i>Eremias suphani</i>	38° 18' 18.2"	43° 48' 46.4"	1,997 m	Hoşap, Güzelsu, Van
SÜ2-B	<i>Eremias suphani</i>	38° 18' 18.2"	43° 48' 46.4"	1,997 m	Hoşap, Güzelsu, Van
SÜ2-C	<i>Eremias suphani</i>	38° 18' 18.2"	43° 48' 46.4"	1,997 m	Hoşap, Güzelsu, Van
SÜ1-B	<i>Eremias suphani</i>	38° 53' 55.2"	42° 55' 38.8"	2,000 m	Aydınlı village, Adilcevaz, Bitlis
SÜ1-C	<i>Eremias suphani</i>	38° 53' 55.2"	42° 55' 38.8"	2,000 m	Aydınlı village, Adilcevaz, Bitlis
SUHC 724	<i>Eremias</i> sp.	38° 49' 22.1"	44° 34' 78.4"	1,934 m	on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran
SUHC 725	<i>Eremias</i> sp.	38° 49' 22.1"	44° 34' 78.4"	1,934 m	on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran
SUHC 726	<i>Eremias</i> sp.	38° 49' 22.1"	44° 34' 78.4"	1,934 m	on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran



Figure 2. General view of *Eremias suphani* from Iran. The color pattern of this species is different from *Eremias strauchi strauchi* (Photo by EskandarRastegar-Pouyani, 2005).

Discussion

Specimens of *Eremias* collected from Ahlat, Bitlis, in eastern Turkey were considered to be *Eremias velox* ssp. by Başoğlu and Hellmich (1959). In 1968, these authors examined specimens from Ahlat, Süphan Dağı, Mukus, and Van and described a new subspecies, *Eremias velox suphani*. Peters (1964) considered *E. velox strauchi* to be a full species (*E. strauchi*), whereas specimens collected from Doğubayazıt, Iğdır, and Kağızman were considered as *E. velox* by Clark and Clark (1973). Başoğlu and Baran (1977) stated that *E. suphani* and *E. strauchi* are subspecies of *E. velox* whereas Bischoff (1978) suggested that *E. suphani* is a subspecies of *E. strauchi*. Finally, *E. velox suphani* was regarded as a distinct species by Bishoff and Böhme (1980).

Eremias suphani is considered to be endemic to eastern Turkey, where it is known from the vicinity of Lake Van (Mulder 1995; Franzen and Heckes 1999; Baran et al. 2012).

According to the previous studies on the Iranian herpetofauna (Leviton et al. 1992; Anderson 1999; Rastegar-Pouyani et al. 2007, 2008), *E. suphani* has not been recorded from Iran. During field trips to northwest Iran in 2005, four specimens from a population belonging to the

genus *Eremias* were collected that were not compatible with diagnostic key of the Iranian species of *Eremias*, but were comparable to *E. strauchi*. Considering these observations we assumed that these specimens represented a new species of *Eremias*, and decided to compare the specimens with individuals of other species of the genus that were described and previously recorded from the region, specifically *Eremias strauchi* and *Eremias suphani*. Genetic analysis revealed that the Iranian samples clustered (with 2% distance) with *E. suphani* and not with *E. strauchi* (16% distance). This new country record demonstrates how the border regions of Iran have not been carefully investigated, and that additional effort must be made to document reptile diversity in these areas.

These results strongly suggest that the Iranian samples are conspecific with *Eremias suphani*. Consequently, we conclude that *Eremias suphani* is recorded for the first time in Iran near the border with Turkey on the road from Firoragh to Chaldoran in Ali Sheykh village.

Acknowledgments.—We thank Hamzeh Oraei and Azar Khosravani for their efforts in providing the molecular data and Dr. Jim Bogart for his generous help in improving the English of an earlier version of this manuscript.

Table 2. Estimates of evolutionary divergence (p-distance) over sequence pairs between samples of *Eremias* in this study.

	<i>Eremias suphani</i> Turkey	<i>Eremias</i> sp. Iran Firoragh	<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>
<i>Eremias suphani</i> Turkey	—	0.022	0.193
<i>Eremias</i> sp. Iran Firoragh	0.022	—	0.166
<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>	0.193	0.166	—




Figure 3. Iran-Turkey map and localities of new records in Iran and the type locality of *Eremias suphani* around the Van Lake in Turkey. Red circles relate to new country records in Iran and the blue circles are type localities of the Turkish samples.

Literature Cited

- Anderson SC. 1966. The turtles, lizards, and amphisbaenians of Iran. Ph.D. thesis. Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA. 660 p.
- Anderson SC. 1999. *The Lizards of Iran*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Ithaca, New York, USA. 442 p.
- Balouch M, Kami HG. 1995. *Amphibians of Iran*. Tehran University Publication, Tehran, Iran. 177 p.
- Başoğlu M, Hellmich W. 1959. Auf herpetologischer Forschungsfahrt in Ost-Anatolien. *Aquarien und Terrarienzeitschrift* (Stuttgart) 12: 118–121.
- Başoğlu M, Hellmich W. 1968. Eine neue *Eremias*-Form aus Ost-Anatolien (Reptilia, Lacertidae). *Ege Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi İlmî Raporlar Serisi* (Izmir) 67: 1–9.
- Başoğlu M, Baran İ. 1977. *Türkiye Sürüngenleri. Kısım 1. Kaplumbağa ve Kertenkeler*. Ege Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Kitaplar Series No. 76: 1–272. Bornova.
- Baran İ, Ilgaz Ç, Avcı A, Kumlutaş Y, Olgun K. 2012. *Türkiye Amfibi ve Sürüngenleri*. TÜBİTAK, Ankara. 204 p. (ISBN: 978-975-403-703-6).
- Bischoff W. 1978. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Echsen des Kaukasus. *Salamandra* 14: 178–202.
- Bischoff W, Böhme W. 1980. Der systematische Status der türkischen Wüstenrenner des Subgenus *Eremias* (Sauria: Lacertidae). *Zoologische Beiträge N.F.* (Berlin) 26(2): 297–306.
- Clark RJ, Clark ED. 1973. Report on a collection of amphibians and reptiles from Turkey. *Occasional Papers of the California Academy of Sciences* 104: 1–62.
- Kaska Y, Kumlutaş Y, Kaya U, Avcı A, Üzümlü N, Yeniyurt C, Akarsu F. 2009. *Eremias suphani*. In: IUCN 2013. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2013.1. Available: www.iucnredlist.org [Accessed: 04 October 2013].
- Hosseini Yousefkhani SS, Hamzeh O, Khosravani A, Rastegar-Pouyani E. 2013. Habitat associations and

- conservation of *Eremias acutirostris* (Boulenger, 1887) in the Sistan region, Zabol, Iran. *Amphibian & Reptile Conservation* 6(2): 31–34(e60).
- Firouz E. 2000. *A Guide to the Fauna of Iran*. Tehran University Press, Tehran, Iran. 491 p. (In Persian).
- Franzen M, Heckes H. 1999. *Eremias suphani* Basoglu & Hellmich, 1968 and *Eremias strauchi* Kessler, 1878 in der östlichen Türkei: Diagnostische Merkmale, Verbreitung und Lebensräume (Sauria: Lacertidae). *Salamandra* 35(4): 255–266.
- Kabir S, Shahriar M, Hamidul Kabir AN, Uddin MG. 2006. High salt SDS-based method for the direct extraction of genomic DNA from three different gram-negative organisms. *The CDR Journal* 1(2): 57–64.
- Kami HG, Vakilipoure A. 1996a. Geographic distribution: *Bufo bufo*. *Herpetological Review* 27: 148.
- Kami HG, Vakilipoure A. 1996b. Geographic distribution: *Rana camerani*. *Herpetological Review* 27: 150.
- Latifi M. 1991. *The Snakes of Iran*. Society for the study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Ithaca, New York, USA. 159 p.
- Leviton AE, Anderson SC, Adler K, Minton SA. 1992. *Handbook to Middle East Amphibians and Reptiles*. Society for the study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Ithaca, New York, USA. 252 p. + 33 plates.
- Mertens RFW. 1957. Weitere unterlagen zur herpetofauna von Iran 1956. *Jahreshefte des Vereins für vaterländische Naturkunde in Württemberg* 112: 118–128.
- Mulder J. 1995. Herpetological observations in Turkey. *Deinsea* 2: 51–66.
- Peters G. 1964. Sekundäre Geschlechtsmerkmale, Wachstum und Fortpflanzung bei einigen transkaukasischen *Eremias*-Formen (Reptilia, Lacertidae). *Senckenbergiana Biologica* (Frankfurt) 45: 445–467.
- Rastegar-Pouyani N, Rastegar-Pouyani E, Jawhari M. 2007. *Field Guide to the Reptiles of Iran* (Volume 1: Lizards). Razi University Press, Kermanshah, Iran. (In Persian).
- Rastegar-Pouyani N, Kami HG, Rajabizadeh M, Shafiei S, Anderson SC. 2008. Annotated checklist of amphibians and reptiles of Iran. *Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics* 4: 43–66.
- Rastegar-Pouyani E, Rastegar-Pouyani R, Kazemi Nourini S, Joger U, Wink M. 2010. Molecular phylogeny of the *Eremias persica* complex of the Iranian plateau (Reptilia: Lacertidae), based on mtDNA sequences. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 158: 641–660.
- Tuck RG. 1971. Amphibians and reptiles from Iran in the United State National Museum Collection. *Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society* 7: 48–36.
- Tuck RG. 1974. Some amphibians and reptiles from Iran. *Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society* 10: 59–65.

Received: 03 November 2013

Accepted: 08 November 2013

Published: 14 December 2013

Appendix I. List of the material examined in this study and their accession numbers.

Species name	Country	Accession Number
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Turkey	KF797802
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Turkey	KF797803
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Turkey	KF797804
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Turkey	KF797805
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Iran	KF797806
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Iran	KF797807
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Iran	KF797808
<i>Eremias suphani</i>	Iran	KF797809
<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>	Iran	KF797810
<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>	Iran	KF797811
<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>	Iran	KF797812
<i>Eremias strauchi strauchi</i>	Iran	KF797813